[65]

# *In vitro* Plantlet Regeneration from Nodal Explants of Anchote [*Coccinia abyssinica* (Lam.) Cong.] Genotypes

#### **Solomon Abate Sisay**

Department of Plant Sciences, College of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Madda Walabu University, Bale-Robe, Ethiopia. Corresponding author Email: abatesolomon30@gmail.com

### Abstract

In vitro plantlet regeneration experiment using nodal explants in KUWE (G1) and 223098 (G2) genotypes of anchote was conducted by regulating plant growth regulators and nutrient-strength of Murashige and Skoog media. Shoot development was evaluated on media supplemented with different concentrations and combinations of 6-Benzylamimopurine and Kinetin. Root formation was assessed on full and half nutrient-strength media, each with various concentrations of Indol Butvric Acid. Multiple shoots in G1 (4.85) and G2 (5.65) along with 100% induction frequency were obtained at 0.5 mg/L 6-Benzylamimopurine alone and lmg/L 6-Benzylamimopurine + 0.25 mg/L Kinetin, respectively. Long shoots in both G1 (6.57 cm) and G2 (5.92 cm) was obtained on hormone free medium. Full and half nutrient-strength media without hormone were capable of producing 100% root formation in G1 and G2, respectively. Maximum root numbers in G1 (22.97) and G2 (17.6) were obtained on full nutrient-strength media with 0.25 mg/L and 0.5 mg/L Indol Butyric Acid, respectively. Long roots in G1 (8.02 cm) and G2 (7.07 cm) were recorded from hormone free full nutrient-strength media. Roots developed on hormone free media exhibited normal morphological appearance. After four weeks of acclimatization, plantlets of 76% in G1 and 79% in G2 were survived. In general, plantlet regeneration from nodal explants of both genotypes of anchote has been successfully achieved and the procedures could be applied for in vitro production of propagation materials of the respective genotypes.

Keywords: Direct regeneration, Genotype, MS media, Nodal explants, Plant growth regulators

# Introduction

Anchote [Coccinia abyssinica (Lam.) Cong.] is one of the most potentially important indigenous root tuber crops of Ethiopia. It is an annual trailing vine belongs to the Cucurbitaceae family and found under cultivation and in the wild in the country (Demel et al., 2010; Tufa et al., 2017). One of the distinguishing natures of the crop is that almost all parts of it (tuber, tender shoots, immature fruits and seeds) are consumable. However, the cultivated type of anchote is best known and grown principally for its tuberous root (Tufa et al., 2017). The tuber of anchote is the richest in calcium, protein, iron and Vitamin B2 contents of all other common widespread root tuber crops such as potato, sweet potato, taro, yam and cassava (Habtamu et al., 2013; Tola, 2014; Aditya et al., 2017). In addition, the low content of anti-nutritional

factors reflects the desirable quality of the tuber (Habtamu *et al.*, 2013; Habtamu and Kelbesa, 1997). The nutritive values of edible leaves and fruits of anchote are high even better than the commonly used tuber of the crop (Desta, 2011; Girma and Dereje, 2015; Ayalew *et al.*, 2017). Apart from its nutritional importance, anchote is a medicinal plant and has been used as a folklore medicine to heal bone fractures, backaches, displaced joints and other diseases such as gonorrhea, skin eruptions, tuberculosis and cancer (Amare, 2003; Endashaw, 2007).

Cultivation of anchote is sporadic but widespread in western and southwestern parts of Ethiopia on altitude between 1300 and 2800 m.a.s.l with 762–1016 mm annual rainfall (Endashaw, 2007; Daba *et al.*, 2012). Anchote can be propagated both vegetatively (using tubers and vines) and by seed but propagation by seed is a common practice by local farmers

(Negash, 2019). The tuber yield of anchote at farmers level has been estimated 10-20 t/ha (Amsalu et al., 2008). Whereas, under experimental condition, a maximum of 76-80 t/ha tuber yield was reported (Desta, 2011; Daba et al., 2012). On the other hand, Bekele (2017) obtained not more than 26 t/ha of tuber yield in his trial using different accessions of anchote including those maximum yielders in Desta (2011) and Daba *et al.* (2012) experiments. Apart from other yield limiting environmental and agronomic factors, genetic segregation of yield contributing traits might have positive contribution in such a huge variation of anchote tuber yield. The high outcrossing nature of anchote due variation in developmental position and blooming time of male and female flowers has been demonstrated by Holstein (2015). Therefore, looking for in vitro propagation techniques is crucial to overcome such a challenge through providing quality propagation materials.

In vitro propagation using nodal explants is one of the simplest techniques of plant cell, tissue and organ culture to produce plenty of diseasefree propagation materials which are genetically identical with the mother plant within short period of time. However, in vitro response of crop species and genotypes has been reported to vary greatly with their requirements for optimum culture environment (George and Debergh, 2008). Regardless of the type of explants, in vitro response variation between genotypes has been investigated in many crop species: cassava (Mapayi et al., 2013), eggplant (Sharmin et al., 2008), sweet potato (Geleta and Tileye, 2011), Telfairia occidentalis (Adesoye et al., 2012). Little in vitro propagation studies in anchote carried out recently have also indicated genotype variation on the requirements for optimum culture media compositions (Folla et al., 2013; Rahel, 2013; Kahia et al., 2016). In considering such genotype based variations on the requirement of optimum in vitro culture media, this research work was initiated to investigate plantlet regeneration from nodal explants of KUWE (G1) and 223098 (G2) genotypes of anchote through regulating plant growth regulators and nutrient-strength of the medium.

# Materials and Methods

#### Study area and plant materials

The experiment was conducted at the Plant Tissue Culture Laboratory of National Agricultural Biotechnology Research Centre (NABRC), Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research (EIAR), which is located about 44 km far to the west of Addis Ababa, the capital city of Ethiopia. Seeds of two anchote accessions, KUWE (G1) and 223098 (G2), each from different genotype cluster (Desta, 2011) were collected from Debre Zeit Agricultural Research Centre (DZARC). Mother plants were established in a greenhouse using pots filled with 2:1:1 ratio of soil, sand and compost, respectively.

#### Media preparation

Murashige and Skoog (1962) (MS) basal nutrients with 3% sucrose was used as the basic components of the media. The pH of the medium was adjusted to 5.8 upon addition of plant growth regulators using hydrochloric acid (1N HCl) and sodium hydroxide (1N NaOH). Then, agar type I was added at a rate of 4.5 g/L while boiling to solidify the media. The prepared media were autoclaved for 20 minutes at a temperature of 121 °C and 15 psi pressure and left for three days in the culture room before use to check for any contamination.

#### Surface disinfection of explants

The second and third young nodes from five weeks old healthy greenhouse grown plants of each genotype were collected. Primary washing for five minutes using tap water and liquid detergent along with the addition of 1-2 drops of Tween-20 was done to remove dirt and reduce the level of contaminants attached on the surface of the nodes. Nodes were further surface disinfected under the laminar airflow hood with 70% alcohol for 30 seconds and 1% Clorox bleaches (5.25% NaOCl) for 5 minutes. The concentration and time exposure of NaOCl determined through was preliminary optimization experiment. Upon the completion of each surface disinfection process, nodes were rinsed four to five times with sterilized double distilled water to remove the effect of surface sterilizing agents. During all the surface disinfection processes, nodes were hand shaken

by putting them in a beaker with lid to facilitate contact between floating nodes and disinfected agents.

#### Shoot induction and multiplication

Node explants of about 1 cm size were prepared by trimming extra large size including damaged parts at the cut ends of the nodes due to sterilizing agents. Nodal Explants were then cultured by placing them vertically in a magenta jar containing 50 ml of shoot multiplication induction and medium supplemented with different concentrations and combinations of 6-benzylaminopurine (BAP) (0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2 mg/L) and Kinetin (Kn) (0.25, 0.5 and 1 mg/L). Hormone free MS medium was used as a control. There were a total of 40 treatments (five BAP levels x four Kn levels x two genotypes). Shoot induction frequency, number of shoots per explant, average shoot length and number of nodes per shoot were collected at about four weeks of culture initiation to evaluate shoot induction and multiplication response of the genotypes under the given concentrations and combinations of plant growth regulators (PGRs).

#### **Root induction and development**

Normal and healthy shoots of 2 cm and above in length were selected and cultured on hormone free MS medium for five days to avoid the carryover shooting hormones. Shoots were then cultured in a magenta jar containing 50 ml of full nutrient-strength of MS medium (FMS) and half nutrient-strength of MS medium (HMS) supplemented with different concentration levels of indol butyric acid (IBA) (0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 1 mg/L). Hormone free MS medium was also used as a control. There were a total of twenty treatments (two genotypes x two MS medium nutrient-strength x five IBA concentration levels). Data on root induction frequency, number of roots per shoot and length of root were collected after four weeks of culture on the rooting media.

#### **Culture conditions**

All the culturing processes were undertaken under the aseptic condition of the laminar air flow hood. Unnecessary callus developed at the base of shoots was trimmed with the help of surgical blade before shoots subjected to root induction treatments. All cultures were kept in a growth room under 16 hours light (2700 lux light intensity) and 8 hours dark cycle at a temperature of  $25^{\circ}C \pm 2^{\circ}C$ .

#### Acclimatization

Well rooted shoots with the absence of any defects were selected and rinsed several times in a container containing tap water to remove the attached agar. Plantlets were then planted in a greenhouse on a soil medium prepared from 2:1:1 ratio of soil, sand and compost, respectively. Acclimatization trays/pots contained plantlets were covered with a plastic sheet. The plastic sheet cover was gradually removed and at the end of third weeks plantlets were completely exposed to the greenhouse environment. Number of survived plantlets was recorded at the end of fourth weeks of acclimatization to evaluate survival rate of plantlets.

#### Design and statistical analysis

All factorially combined treatments were arranged in Completely Randomized Design (CRD). Each data was collected from a total of twenty sample units, five observations per culture jar replicated four times. Data of all the quantitative parameters were subjected to statistical analysis with SAS computer statistical tool (version 9.1). List Significant Difference (LSD) test was conducted to compare treatment means, which revealed a significant difference of F-test in the ANOVA, at  $p \le 0.05$  probability level.

### **Results and Discussion**

# Shoot induction and multiplication response of the genotypes

All the main and interaction effects of genotype, BAP and kinetin significantly ( $p \le 0.05$ ) affected shoot induction parameters, except the main effect of genotype on shoot induction frequency, number of shoots and number of nodes. Mean values of shoot induction parameters as affected by the three

way interaction of genotype, BAP and kinetin are presented in Table 1.

Shoot formation was observed in all treatments including the controls of both genotypes. However. the level of shoot induction frequency varied with the type and used. concentration of cytokinins Both genotypes cultured on MS media supplemented with 0.5 mg/L BAP + 0.25 mg/L Kn, 1 mg/L BAP alone and 1 mg/L BAP + 0.25 mg/L Kn resulted in 100% induction frequency. Media supplemented with 0.5 mg/L BAP with and without 0.5 mg/L Kn in G1 and 0.5 mg/L Kn alone in G2 also resulted in 100% shoot formation (Table 1). Lower shoot induction frequency in G2 (45%) and G1 (65%) was recorded from hormone-free medium (Control treatment). A significant reduction in shoot induction frequency of both genotypes was observed as the level of BAP increased beyond 1 mg/L within the range regardless of the kinetin concentration levels (Table 1). Folla et al. (2013), Kahia et al. (2016) and Rahel (2013) reported 3 µM BAP, 0.5 µM BAP and 2 mg/L BAP, respectively as optimum BAP concentrations for maximum shoot induction frequency in nodal explants of other genotypes of anchote. However, all the authors did not get more than 80-85% shoot induction frequency which is significantly lower as compared to the findings of the current study. This variation might be developed due to differences in genotype. In vitro regeneration variations due to differences in crop genotype has been well demonstrated by Piqueras and Debergh (1999).

The highest number of shoots per explant (5.56) was recorded in G2 at 1mg/L BAP + 0.25 mg/L Kn while in G1, a maximum of 4.85 shoots per explant was recorded at 0.5 mg/L BAP alone (Table 1). Media without shooting hormone (control treatment) produced only a single shoot in both genotypes. A general decrease in shoot number was observed as the level of BAP increases beyond 0.5 mg/L in G1 and 1 mg/L in G2 regardless of kinetin concentrations. Similar trends on the number of shoots from nodal culture of other genotypes of anchote were reported by Kahia *et al.* (2016), Folla *et al.* (2013), and Rahel (2013). However,

optimum concentrations and/or the combinations of BAP and kinetin that the authors reported greatly vary with the current findings. The variation between genotypes in terms of multiple shoot production along with the requirement of optimum concentration and combination of cytokinins might be due to their totipotentiality and endogenous level of PGRs as stated by Piqueras and Debergh (1999). Such a genotype based variations has been also well demonstrated in sweet potato (Romuald and Anna, 2013). Long shoots in G1 (6.57 cm) and G2 (5.92 cm) along with highest number of nodes (4.75 in G1 and 4.5 in G2) were recorded from hormone-free medium. Comparatively, most of the media supplemented with kinetin produced longer shoots in both genotypes (Table 1). Whereas, shoots developed on BAP supplemented media generally exhibited bushy nature with very short internodes as compared to shoots obtained from the control and kinetin supplemented media (Fig 1A-C). The shoot length response variation between BAP and kinetin might be related with the variation in their stimulating effect on cell elongation as demonstrated by George and Debergh (2008). A similar phenomenon was also observed in other genotypes of anchote, particularly at an increased concentration level of BAP (Kahia et al., 2016; Folla et al., 2013). Moreover, the negative effect of BAP on plantlet height was demonstrated by Sanavy and Moieni (2003) in different potato cultivars. Whereas, the positive effects of kinetin on shoot elongation of Stevia rebaudiana has been reported by Sridhar and Aswath (2014).

A general decrease in shoot number was observed in both genotypes as the level of BAP regardless of increases. the kinetin concentration levels. This might be due to unnecessary callus development at the basal section of explants which upon time grown and suppressed multiple shoot formation (Fig 1D). The callusing nature of BAP, particularly at higher concentration levels, has been investigated in different Coccinia species: Coccinia abbysinica (Folla et al., 2013; Rahel, 2013; Kahia et al., 2016), Coccinia cordifolia (Roy et al., 2012), Coccinia indica (Shekhawat et al., 2014).

Table 1. Interaction effects of 6-benzylaminopurine (BAP) and Kinetin (Kn) on shoot induction and multiplication parameters of KUWE (G1) and 223098 (G2) genotypes of anchote

Treatment			Shoot induction and multiplication parameters (mean $\pm$ SD)				
Genotyp	BAP	Kn	ShIF	NoSh	ShL	NoN	
e	(mg/L)	(mg/L)					
G1	0	0	$65\pm10.00^{\rm f}$	$1.00\pm0.00^{\rm v}$	$6.57\pm0.35^{\rm a}$	$4.75\pm0.50^{\rm a}$	
		0.25	$70\pm11.55^{\rm ef}$	$1.77\pm0.17^{\rm qrs}$	$6.20\pm0.40^{ab}$	$3.55\pm0.31^{def}$	
		0.5	$75\pm10.00^{def}$	$1.42\pm0.15^{\rm s-v}$	$4.62\pm0.22^{\rm c}$	$3.30\pm0.22^{\text{efg}}$	
		1	$95\pm10.00^{ab}$	$1.62\pm0.25^{q\text{-u}}$	$3.67\pm0.34^{de}$	$2.50\pm0.22^{lmn}$	
	0.5	0	$100\pm0.00^{\rm a}$	$4.85\pm0.30^{\rm b}$	$3.50\pm0.26^{\text{ef}}$	$3.77\pm0.33^{bcd}$	
		0.25	$100\pm0.00^{\rm a}$	$4.25\pm0.30^{\rm c}$	$4.02\pm0.33^{\rm d}$	$2.67\pm0.09^{j\text{-m}}$	
		0.5	$100\pm0.00^{\rm a}$	$3.70 \pm 0.26^{d}$	$2.95\pm0.13^{\rm hij}$	$2.02\pm0.21^{pq}$	
		1	$90\pm11.55^{abc}$	$2.47\pm0.21^{k\text{-}n}$	$3.02\pm0.17^{\text{ g-j}}$	$2.32\pm0.30^{\text{m-p}}$	
	1	0	$100\pm0.00^{\rm a}$	$2.85\pm0.19^{\rm h\text{-}k}$	$2.87\pm0.26^{\rm h\text{-}k}$	$2.70\pm0.21^{\rm i\cdot l}$	
		0.25	$100\pm0.00^{\rm a}$	$2.00\pm0.40^{\text{opq}}$	$2.97\pm0.17^{hij}$	$2.25\pm0.50^{n\text{-}q}$	
		0.5	$85\pm10.00^{bcd}$	$3.35\pm0.41^{\rm def}$	$2.32\pm0.36^{\text{m-p}}$	$2.75 \pm 0.21^{ m i-l}$	
		1	$80\pm0.00^{\text{cde}}$	$1.62\pm 0.33^{q\text{-u}}$	$2.80\pm0.29^{\rm h\text{-}l}$	$2.55\pm0.19^{k\text{-}n}$	
	1.5	0	$85\pm10.00^{bcd}$	$3.30\pm0.24^{\text{d-g}}$	$2.02\pm0.17^{\text{p-s}}$	$3.97\pm0.09^{\rm bc}$	
		0.25	$80\pm16.33^{cde}$	$2.50\pm0.57^{k\text{-}n}$	$2.45\pm0.10^{\rm l-o}$	$2.90\pm0.14^{\rm h\text{-}k}$	
		0.5	$90\pm11.55^{abc}$	$2.27\pm0.32^{m\text{-}p}$	$2.95\pm0.10^{hij}$	$2.27\pm0.31^{n\text{-}q}$	
		1	$85\pm10.00^{bcd}$	$3.05\pm0.13^{\rm f-i}$	$2.67\pm0.15^{j\text{-m}}$	$2.57\pm0.09^{k\text{-}n}$	
	2	0	$90\pm11.55^{abc}$	$2.37\pm0.33^{\rm l-o}$	$1.82\pm0.21^{\text{q-t}}$	$3.05\pm0.13^{\rm ghi}$	
		0.25	$75\pm10.00^{\rm def}$	$2.25\pm0.29^{\text{nop}}$	$2.05\pm0.19^{\text{o-r}}$	$3.15\pm0.19^{\text{gh}}$	
		0.5	$80\pm16.33^{\text{cde}}$	$2.87\pm0.27^{g\text{-}k}$	$1.77\pm0.26^{\rm rst}$	$2.97\pm0.17^{\text{g-j}}$	
		1	$85\pm10.00^{bcd}$	$1.32\pm0.27^{tuv}$	$2.12\pm0.30^{n\text{-r}}$	$2.10\pm0.14^{\text{opq}}$	
G2	0	0	$45\pm10.00^{\rm g}$	$1.00\pm0.00^{\rm v}$	$5.92\pm0.67^{\text{b}}$	$4.50\pm0.57^{\rm a}$	
		0.25	$95\pm10.00^{ab}$	$1.30\pm0.24^{\rm uv}$	$4.72\pm0.46^{\rm c}$	$3.02\pm0.09^{g\text{-}j}$	
		0.5	$100\pm0.00^{\rm a}$	$2.60\pm0.42^{j\text{-}n}$	$3.42\pm0.38^{\text{efg}}$	$2.72\pm0.26^{\rm i\text{-}l}$	
		1	$90 \pm 11.55^{\text{abc}}$	$2.47\pm0.05^{k\text{-}n}$	$3.07\pm0.27^{g\text{-}j}$	$2.47\pm0.38^{lmn}$	
	0.5	0	$80\pm0.00^{\text{cde}}$	$3.60\pm0.34^{\text{de}}$	$2.15\pm0.13^{\text{n-r}}$	$3.62\pm0.15^{\text{cde}}$	
		0.25	$100\pm0.00^{\rm a}$	$1.90\pm0.20^{\text{pqr}}$	$3.10\pm0.29^{\rm f\text{-}i}$	$2.25 \pm 0.21^{n-q}$	
		0.5	$95\pm10.00^{ab}$	$3.00\pm0.41^{\rm f\text{-}j}$	$2.50\pm0.39^{k\text{-}n}$	$2.02\pm0.05^{\text{pq}}$	
		1	$80\pm0.00^{\text{cde}}$	$1.75\pm0.29^{\text{q-t}}$	$2.75\pm0.21^{\mathrm{i}\text{-}\mathrm{l}}$	$1.92\pm0.09^{\rm q}$	
	1	0	$100\pm0.00^{\rm a}$	$4.60\pm0.36^{bc}$	$1.97\pm0.25^{\text{p-s}}$	$3.97\pm0.27^{\rm bc}$	
		0.25	$100\pm0.00a$	$5.65\pm0.41^{\text{a}}$	$2.92\pm0.09^{hij}$	$4.12\pm0.29^{\text{b}}$	
		0.5	$90 \pm 11.55^{\text{abc}}$	$2.40\pm0.49^{\mathrm{l}\text{-o}}$	$3.07\pm0.17^{g\text{-}j}$	$2.77\pm0.28^{\rm i\text{-}l}$	
		1	$85\pm10.00^{bcd}$	$1.67\pm0.23^{\text{q-u}}$	$3.02\pm0.12^{g\text{-}j}$	$2.45\pm0.13^{\mathrm{l}\text{-o}}$	
	1.5	0	$90 \pm 11.55^{\text{abc}}$	$2.75\pm0.30^{i\text{-l}}$	$2.22\pm0.38^{\text{n-q}}$	$3.32\pm0.20^{\text{efg}}$	
		0.25	$90 \pm 11.55^{\text{abc}}$	$2.45\pm0.41^{k\text{-}n}$	$2.10\pm0.34^{\text{n-r}}$	$2.05\pm0.21^{\text{pq}}$	
		0.5	$95\pm10.00^{ab}$	$2.30\pm0.26^{\text{m-p}}$	$2.25\pm0.29^{nop}$	$2.57\pm0.15^{k\text{-}n}$	
		1	$75\pm10.00^{\text{def}}$	$1.65\pm0.34^{\text{q-u}}$	$3.17\pm0.22^{\rm fgh}$	$2.45\pm0.37^{\rm l-o}$	
	2	0	$75\pm10.00^{def}$	$3.20\pm0.23^{\text{e-h}}$	$1.52\pm0.28^{\rm t}$	$2.67\pm0.33^{j\text{-m}}$	
		0.25	$90\pm11.55^{abc}$	$2.95\pm0.25^{\rm f\text{-}j}$	$2.07\pm0.22^{\text{o-r}}$	$3.25\pm0.21^{\rm fgh}$	
		0.5	$70\pm11.55^{\rm ef}$	$2.70\pm0.47^{i\text{-m}}$	$1.62\pm0.39^{\text{st}}$	$2.50\pm0.29^{lmn}$	
		1	$65\pm10.00^{\rm f}$	$1.50\pm0.24^{\rm r\text{-}u}$	$1.82\pm0.23^{q\text{-t}}$	$2.05\pm0.25^{pq}$	
	CV (%)		10.82	12.10	9.71	9.12	

Means assigned with the same letter within a column are not significantly (p < 0.05) different to each other; ShIF = Shoot Induction Frequency, NoSh = Number of Shoots, ShL = Shoot Length, NoN = Number of Nodes





G2 = 1.5 mg/L BAPG1 = 2 mg/L BAP + 1 mg/L Kn

Figure 1. Morphological appearance of shoots induced from nodal explants in KUWE (G1) and 223092 (G2) genotypes of anchote observed at fourth week of culture initiation: Long shoots with no basal callus formation (A and B), Bushy nature of shoots obtained at an increased level of BAP concentration (C) and Suppressed shoot formation due to unnecessary callus formation (D).

#### MS Effect of media nutrientstrength and IBA on root induction and development

Interaction of genotype, media nutrient-strength and IBA significantly ( $p \le 0.05$ ) affected root induction and development parameters. Half nutrient-strength MS media (HMS) with 0.5 mg/L IBA resulted in 100% root formation in G1. Whereas in G2, 100% root formation was recorded from full nutrient-strength MS media (FMS) supplemented with 0.75 mg/L IBA and HMS + 0.25 mg/L IBA. Similarly, FMS and HMS without rooting hormone were capable of producing 100% root induction frequency in G1 and G2, respectively (Table 2). Ishag et al. (2009) also obtained 100% root induction frequency in tomato shoots without external application of rooting hormones as well as with the application of IBA at 0.5 mg/L and lower concentrations. The finding of the current study is, however, far apart with the previous findings in anchote. Kahia et al. (2016) reported 40%

root formation with HMS without rooting hormone. Rahel (2013) obtained 18% root induction frequency with the control treatment of FMS. Whereas, Folla et al. (2013) did not obtain any root on the control treatment using HMS. Regardless of the variation between genotypes, these authors reported a maximum of 76-91% root formation in anchote microppropagation even with the application of rooting hormones. The reason for 100% root formation without rooting hormone might be related with the endogenous auxin level of the genotypes and such genotypes eliminate the cost for external application of rooting hormones.

The number and length of roots recorded in both genotypes significantly varied with the media nutrient-strength and IBA concentration (Table 2). The highest number of roots per shoot (22.97) was recorded in G1 on FMS + 0.25 mg/L IBA while the minimum number of roots per shoot (3.15) was obtained from the

HMS control treatment of the same genotype. Comparatively long roots in both genotypes (8.02 cm in G1 and 7.07 cm in G2) were obtained from hormone-free full nutrient-strength medium. While, the shortest roots in G1 (2.25 cm) and G2 (2.7) were obtained from full nutrient-strength media with 1 mg/L IBA and half nutrient-strength medium with 0.75 mg/L IBA, respectively.

Regardless of the variation between genotypes and media nutrient-strength, a general decrease in number of roots per shoot and average shoot length were observed as the IBA concentration increased from 0.25 to 1 mg/L (Table 2). Apart from reduction in number and length of roots, roots formed at an increased concentration of IBA were also observed morphologically abnormal as compared to roots formed with no defects at lower concentrations of IBA (Fig 2A-C). This might be due to unnecessary callus formation at the base of the shoots. Such root formation challenges due to unnecessary callus formation at an elevated concentration of IBA was also observed by Folla *et al.* (2013) in anchote and Shekhawat *et al.* (2014) in *Coccinia indica.* 

Table 2. Interaction effects of MS media nutrient-strength and idol butyric acid (IBA) on the roo	t
formation of KUWE (G1) and 223098 (G2) genotype of anchote	

	Treatment		Mean value $\pm$ SD			
Genotype	MS-strength	IBA (mg/L)	RF (%)	NoR	RL (cm)	
G1	FMS	0	$100\pm0.00^{\mathrm{a}}$	$12.15\pm0.25^{\rm f}$	$8.02\pm0.33^{\rm a}$	
		0.25	$85\pm10.00^{bcd}$	$22.97\pm0.27^{\rm a}$	$7.25\pm0.24^{\text{b}}$	
		0.5	$90\pm11.55^{abc}$	$10.52\pm0.34^{\rm h}$	$5.97\pm0.36^{de}$	
		0.75	$80\pm0.00^{\rm cde}$	$11.25\pm0.33^{g}$	$2.82\pm0.21^k$	
		1	$60\pm0.00^{g}$	$5.40\pm0.26^{\rm k}$	$2.25\pm0.26^{\rm l}$	
	HMS	0	$90\pm11.55^{abc}$	$3.15\pm0.31^{1}$	$6.52\pm0.51^{\text{c}}$	
		0.25	$90\pm11.55^{abc}$	$11.32\pm0.57^{g}$	$5.60\pm0.29^{\rm ef}$	
		0.5	$100\pm0.00^{\rm a}$	$13.17\pm0.36^{\text{e}}$	$4.42\pm0.40^{\rm h}$	
		0.75	$75\pm10.00^{def}$	$10.52\pm0.46^{\rm h}$	$4.15\pm0.26^{\rm hij}$	
		1	$80\pm0.00^{\rm cde}$	$6.77\pm0.38^{j}$	$3.92\pm0.09^{ij}$	
G2	FMS	0	$80\pm0.00^{\text{cde}}$	$10.52\pm0.36^{\rm h}$	$7.07\pm0.45^{b}$	
		0.25	$90\pm11.55^{abc}$	$16.27\pm0.25^{\text{c}}$	$5.37\pm0.40^{\rm fg}$	
		0.5	$95\pm10.00^{ab}$	$17.6\pm0.58^{\text{b}}$	$4.52\pm0.25^{\rm h}$	
		0.75	$100\pm0.00^{\rm a}$	$13.75\pm0.19^{\rm d}$	$4.07\pm0.26^{hij}$	
		1	$85\pm10.00^{bcd}$	$6.37\pm0.43^{\rm j}$	$6.17\pm0.33^{\text{cd}}$	
	HMS	0	$100\pm0.00^{\rm a}$	$7.85\pm0.54^{\rm i}$	$5.10\pm0.47^{\text{g}}$	
		0.25	$100\pm0.00^{\rm a}$	$13.22\pm0.22^{\text{e}}$	$4.22\pm0.26^{\rm hi}$	
		0.5	$95\pm10.00^{ab}$	$11.90\pm0.31^{\rm f}$	$4.37\pm0.38^{hi}$	
		0.75	$70\pm11.55^{\text{efg}}$	$16.05\pm0.34^{\circ}$	$2.70\pm0.25^{\rm kl}$	
		1	$65\pm10.00^{fg}$	$14.02\pm0.29^{\rm d}$	$3.75\pm0.39^{j}$	
	CV (%)		9.20	3.16	6.82	

Means assigned with the same letter within a column are not significantly (p < 0.05) different to each other; RF = Rooting Frequency, NoR = Number of Root, RL = Root Length



G1 = FMS + 0.25 mg/L IBA

Figure 2. Different types of root morphology in KUWE (G1) and 223092 (G2) observed after four weeks of culture on rooting media: Normal morphological appearance of roots obtained from the control (A) and lower concentration of IBA (C) and root formation interference due to unnecessary callus development at the base of shoots (B)

#### **Acclimatization of plantlets**

Upon four weeks of acclimatization on a potting medium prepared from sand, soil and compost in a 2:1:1 ratio, plantlets survival rate of 76% in G1 and 79% in G2 were recorded. Survival rates of plantlets obtained in this study is slightly lower than obtained by Kahia *et al.* (2016) and Folla *et al.* (2013), who reported survival rates of 83% and 82%, respectively. This might be happened due the variation in the type and ratio of potting mixtures.

# Conclusion

*In vitro* regeneration of plantlets in KUWE (G1) and 223098 (G2) genotypes of anchote using nodal explants has been investigated in detail in this study along with determination of optimum media composition for each genotype. Though several treatments resulted in 100%

shoot formation, MS media supplemented with 1 mg/L BAP + 0.25 mg/L Kn in G2 and 0.5 mg/L BAP alone in G1 were best in terms of multiple shoot formation along with the maximum rate of shoot formation. Apart from some IBA supplemented media, full and half nutrient-strength MS media without rooting hormone produced 100% root formation in G1 and G2, respectively. Even if significant variation existed between treatments in number and length of roots, roots of both genotypes obtained in hormone-free MS media exhibited normal morphological appearance. Therefore, application of rooting hormone on the respective genotypes is wastage. Plantlets of 76% in G1 and 79% in G2 were survived after a month of acclimatization on 2:1:1 ratio of soil, sand and compost, respectively. These findings could be applied for mass production of quality propagation materials through in vitro nodal culture of anchote genotypes

investigated in this study. However, further experiment might be required to improve the rate of shoot multiplication and the survival rate of plantlets during acclimatization.

#### Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the National Agricultural Biotechnology Research Center and Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research for providing Laboratory facilities along with all the necessary chemicals and reagents.

# References

- Adesoye, A.I., Okooboh, G.O., Akande, S.R., Balogun, M.O. and Odu, B.O. 2012. Effect of phytohormones and genotype on meristem and shoot tip culture of *Telfairia* occidentalis (Hook F.). J. Appl. Biosci. 49, 3415–3424.
- Aditya, P., Bilatu, A.G., Addisu, L., Yoseph, D., Kirsten, F. and Oliver, H. 2017. Nutritional comparison of white and red *Coccinia Abyssinica* (Lam.) Cong. accessions: An under utilised edible tuber of the Ethiopian highlands. Foods 6, 1-8.
- Amare, G. 2003. Some common medicinal and poisonous plants used in Ethiopian folk medicine. Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. pp 74.
- Amsalu, N., Weyessa, G., Assefa, T., Wubishet, A., Asfaw, K. and Edossa, E. 2008.Variety development of taro, cassava, yam, and indigenous root and tuber crops of Ethiopia. pp. 303-315. *In*: Gebremedhin Woldegiorgis, Endale Gebre and Berga Lemaga (eds.), Root and Tuber Crops: The Untapped Resources. EIAR Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Ayalew, Y., Retta, N., Desse, G. and Mohammed, A. 2017. Amino acid profile and protein quality in tuber and leaf of *Coccnia abyssinica* (Lam.) (Cogn.) accessions of Ethiopia. Food Sci. Nutr. 5, 722–729.
- Bekele, S. 2017. Morphological and molecular genetic diversity and cytogenetics of cultivated anchote [*Coccinia abyssinica* (Lam.) Cogn] from Ethiopia. Ph.D.

Dissertation, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

- Daba, M., Derbew, B., Wosene, G.S. and Waktole, S. 2012. Growth and yield performance of anchote [*Coccinia abyssinica* (Lam.) Cogn.] in response to contrasting environment. Asian J. Plant Sci. 4, 172–181.
- Demel, T., Feyera, S., Mark, M., Million, B. and Pia, B. 2010. Edible wild plants in Ethiopia. Addis Ababa University Press, Ethiopia, pp. 114-115.
- Desta, F. 2011. Phenotypic and nutritional characterization of anchote [*Coccinia abyssinica* (Lam.) Cogn] accessions of Ethiopia. M.Sc. Thesis, Jimma University, Ethiopia.
- Endashaw, B. 2007. Study on actual situation of medical plants in Ethiopia. Addis Ababa University Press, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, pp. 76.
- Folla, B., Balcha, A. and Mezgebe, G. 2013. In vitro propagation of anchote [Coccinia abyssinica (Lam.) Cong]. Afr. J. Plant Sci. 7, 253-264.
- Geleta, D. and Tileye, F. 2011. *In vitro* production of virus-free sweet potato [*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam] by meristem culture and thermotherapy. Ethiopian J. Sci. 34, 17–28.
- George, E.F. and Debergh, P.C. 2008. Micropropagation: Uses and methods. pp. 29-65. *In*: George, E.F., Hall, A.M. and De Klerk, G.J. (eds.), Plant propagation by tissue culture, Third Edition, Vol. I. Published by Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- Girma, A. and Dereje, H. 2015. Yield and nutrient concentration of anchote [*Coccinia abyssinica* (Lam.) Cogn.] affected by harvesting dates and *in-situ* storage. Afr. J. Crop Sci. 3, 156-161.
- Habtamu, F., Fekadu, B. and Gullelat, D. 2013.
  Effect of traditional processing methods on nutritional composition and anti-nutritional factors of anchote [*Coccinia abyssinica* (Lam.) Cogn.] tubers grown in western Ethiopia. J. Food Processing Technol. 4, 1-8.
- Habtamu, F. and Kelbessa, U. 1997. Nutritional and antinutritional characteristics of

anchote (*Coccinia abyssinica*). Eth. J. Health Dev., 11(2): 163-168.

- Holstein, N. 2015. Monograph of *Coccinia* (Cucurbitaceae). Phyto Keys 54, 1–166.
- Ishag, S., Osman, M.G., and Khalafalla, M.M. 2009. Effects of growth regulators, explant and genotype on shoot regeneration in tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum c.v. Omdurman). Int. J. Sustain. Crop Prod. 4, 7-13.
- Kahia, J., Njenga, P. and Kirika, M. 2016. Improved micropropagation of plantlets from nodal explants of anchote (*Coccinia abyssinica*) - A calcium and protein-rich tuber. Hortscience, 51, 905–909.
- Mapayi, E.F., Ojo, D.K., Oduwaye, O.A. and Porbeni, J.B.O. 2013. Optimization of *in vitro* propagation of cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) genotypes. J. Agr. Sci. 5, 261-269.
- Murashige, T. and Skoog, F. 1962. A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue cultures. Plant Physiol. 15, 473-97.
- Negash, G. 2019. Anchote (*Coccinia abyssinica* (Lam.) Cogn.): A nutrient rich root tuber crop of Ethiopia. Agr. Sci. Res. J. 9, 1 11.
- Piqueras, A. and Debergh, P.C. 1999. Morphogenesis in micropropagation, morphogenesis in plant tissue cultures. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publisher.
- Rahel, T. 2013. *In vitro* propagation of anchote [*Coccinia abyssinica* (Lam.) Cogn]. M.Sc. Thesis, Haramaya University, Haramaya, Ethiopia.
- Romuald, D. and Anna, O. 2013. Micropropagation of sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam.) from node explants. Acta Sci. Pol., Hortorum Cultus. 12, 117-127.

- Roy, C.K., Munshia, J.L., Beguma, N., Khatuna, R. and Hassanb, S. 2012. *In vitro* plant regeneration of *Coccinea cordifolia* (Linn.) Cogn.: An anti-diabetic medicinal plant. Bangladesh J. Sci. Ind. Res. 47, 187-190.
- Sanavy, S. and Moieni, M.J. 2003. Effects of different hormone combinations and planting beds on growth of single nodes and plantlets resulted from potato meristem culture. Plant Tiss. Cult. 13, 145-150.
- Sharmin, S.A., Kabir, A.H., Mandal, A., Sarker, K.K and Alam, M.F. 2008. *In vitro* propagation of eggplant through meristem culture. Agriculturae Conspectus Scientificus 73, 149-155.
- Shekhawat, M.S.,Ravindran, C.P and Manokari, M. 2014. Developmental and hormonal regulation of direct shoots and roots regeneration in *Coccinia indica* L. Intl. J. Natural Sci. Res. 2, 103-112.
- Sridhar, T.M. and Aswath, C.R. 2014. Influence of additives on enhanced *in vitro* shoot multiplication of *Stevia rebaudiana* (Bert.): An important antidiabetic medicinal plant. Amer. J. Plant Sci. 5, 192-199.
- Tola, B. 2014. Proximate composition analysis and establishment of an efficient *in vitro* micropropagation protocol for anchote [*Coccinia abyssinca* (Lam.) Cogn] from eastern Wollega, Ethiopia. M.Sc. Thesis, Pan African University, Kenya.
- Tufa, A., Geleta, N. and Legesse, H. 2017.
  Preliminary study for production of anchote (*Coccinia abyssinica* (Lam.)
  Cogn.) in western part of Ethiopia. J.
  Agric. Food Nat. Resour. 1, 16-20.